1980/12/31

CCTIFICENTIAL

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF MESSAGE CENTER

COSN = RAU385 MCN = 80366/07457TOR = 810121715OTTCZFDY RUMJPCA2918 3660935-CCC--PPRAJCP. ZNY CCCCC

O P 3108332 DEC 60 LO422OCHUWUS 3036dOCHUS TO RUEKJCS/DIA WASHDC IMMEDIATE 343645CHUS RUEHC/SECSTATE LASHDC IMMEDIATED RUMTBK/AMEMASSY BANGKOK IMMEDIATE 302232 CH RUEHIN/AIT TAIPEI PRIORITY RUEHMO/AMEMBASSY MOSCOW RUEHKO/AMEMEASSY TOKYO RUEHKY/USDAO TOKYO 631 dd-CHUN RUMJDH/USDLO HONG KONG RUMJDH/AMCONSUL HONG KONG 03200 CHUNTH TW RUMTEK/USDAO EANGKOK TH RUHQHGA/CINCPAC HONLULU HIV RUHQHQA/COMIPAC HONLULU HI 8200 RUHVW A/CINCPACAF HICKAM AFB HI RUWRNLB/CINCAD PETERSON AFB CO//BBAWPXX RUADJSA/13AF CLARK AB RP//DOI RUADJNA/CG 5TH AF YOKOTA AR JA RUCIAWA/FTD WPAFP OH//NISS/SDN// RUHHHMA/COR WESTCOM FT SHAFTER HI//APIN/IN// RUAGAAA/CG 8THARMY SEOUL KS RUADJHA/CDR 500MIG CAMP ZAMA JA RUENAAA/CNO WASHDC//NOP-009// RUEBARA//COMNAVINTCOM WASDC//NIC-03// RHHMBRA/CINCPACELT PEARL HARBOR HIV RUHGO A A/COMSEVENTHELT/ BT

O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L-SECTION 1 OF 4 NOFOR

AMEMB BANGKOK FOR CODEL SOARZ SUBJ: IR THIS IS AN INFO REPORT N5 18 --) 16 3; -) 7-53\$ 8,53)

(U) CTRY: CHINA (CH)V

POOR QUALITY ORIGINAL

PAGE 01 310833Z DEC 80 SECT 01 OF 04

* CONTICENTAL *

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF MESSAGE CENTER

WW KU) TITLE: MND GENERAL SPEAKS TO STRATECIC ISSUES (U)

3. (U) DATE OF INFO: IPOWWO

4. (U) GRIG:

5. (U) REQ REFS:

6. (U) SOURCE

7. CETNEFORNT SUMMARY:

MANY OF THE ANSWERS WERE RECURRING THEMES SUCH AS THE SUSTAINED BELIEF IN SOVIET WORLD-WIDE HEGEMONISTIC ASPIRATIONS. THERE WERE, HOWEVER, POINTS OF EMPHASIS THAT WILL BE OF INTEREST TO THE ANALYST. AMONG THEM:
--THE CALL FOR A UNITED FRONT AGAINST THE USSR, INCLUDING THE US.

-- REJECTION OF A US-SINO ALLIANCE AGAINST RUSSIA.

-- REJECTION OF TAIWAN AS A MILITARY THREAT TO THE MAINLAND.

-- CONTINUED RELIANCE ON PEOPLE'S WAR AS THE SOLE ALTERNATIVE

IN A MILITARY WITH INADEQUATE EQUIPMENT.

--SUPPORT OF THE PLA LEADERSHIP FOR DEVELOPMENT OF AGRICULTURE INDUSTRY AND SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY BEFORE JILITARY MODERNIZATION.

--IF TAIWAN TURNS TO THE USSR. CHINA WOULD USE FORCE FOR REUNIFICATION.

BA. C/NOFORN> DETAILS:

QUESTION AND ANSWER SESSION:

A. QUESTION: WHAT IS YOUR ASSEMSSMENT OF THE MILITARY BALANCE BETWEEN EAST AND WEST IN CONVENTIONAL AND STRATEGIC TERMS?

ANSSER: AS FCR THE MILITARY EALANCE I WANT TO TALK ABOUT SOVIET MILITARY STRATEGY. WE BELIEVE THEIR MILITARY STRATEGY SUPPORTS THEIR POLITICAL AMBITIONS FOR WORLD DOMINATION. THESE PAST YEARS THEIR MILITARY STRENGTH HAS GROWN AND THEY ARE PREPARED FOR A VONCENTIONAL AS WELL AS NUCLEAR WAR. OF COURSE THE BEST WAY IS TO WIN WITHOUT FIGHTING. SO THEIR MILITARY FORCES HAVE DEVELOPED VERY QUICKLY. THE TOTAL FORCES FOR THE SOVIET UNION ARE 4.4 MILLION. ABOUT TWO TIMES THE US. IN TERMS OF CONVENTIONAL AND STRATEGIC WEAPONS THEY EXEED THE US. SINCE THE US IS THE

* CONFIDENTIAL *

DEPARTMENT OF CEFENSE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF MESSAGE CENTER

SOVIET'S MAJOR ADVERSARY THEIR CEJECTIVE IS TO BUILD MILITARY FORCES TO SURPASS THEM. 8. QUESTION: IF WAP COMES FETWEEN THE US AND USSR. OUR ALLIES WOULD ALSO BE INVOLVED. IF THE WAR SPREADS TO ASIA. POSSIBLY CHINA AND JAPAN MIGHT BE INVOLVED. WHAT ARE YOUR VIEWS ON THE SOVIET UNICK AND TE ALLIES ON ONE HAND VERSUS THE US AND ITS ALLIES ON THE CTHER? ANSWER: I'VE JUST MENTIONED THAT THE MILITARY STRATEGY OF THE SSR SUPPORTS ITS POLITICAL AMBITION TO CONQUER THE WORLD. IT IS NOT JUST THE US THAT MUST DEAL WITH THIS SITUATION BUT WESTERN EUROPE. CHINA. JAPAN AND THIRD WORDL COUNTRIES AS WELL. THE STRATEGIC POINT REQUIRES US TO BE UNITED. IF WE ARE UNITED THE SOVIET UNION WILL BE WEAK AND WE WILL BE STRONG. C. GUESTION: WE HAVE ALLIANCES WITH KOREA, JAPAN WESTERN EUROPE AND OTHERS. ARE YOU SUGGESTING THAT CHINA SHOULD BE A PART OF THE FORMAL RELATIONSHIP WHICH WAS DEVELOPED IN PAST YEARS? ANSWER: IN MY OPINION. THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE WORLD SIUTATION TODAY REQUIRES NEW UNITY. THE FORM MAY NOT BE LIFE THATOF WW II AND IT MAY NOT LINK NATIONS TOGETHER WITH TREATIES. IN REALITY I DON'T THINK THE US FAVORS THE IDEA OF CHINA AS AN ALLY. ALSO WE DON'T THNK THE EEST WAY OAT FOR CHINA IS TO BECOME AN ALLY OF THE US. IF CHINA AND THE US WERE LINKED BY AN ALLIED RELATIONSHIP THIS MIGHT PLACE BOTH IN A DIFFERENT SITUATION. IN MY OPINION WHEN THE WORLD SITUATION DEVEOPES. WE NEED NOT COPY THE FORMS OF HISTORY. WHEN WAR HAPPENS, WE CAN HAVE CTHER ICEAS. D. GUESTION: WHAT DIFFICULTUIES WOULD A FORMAL RELATION-SHIP PRESENT TO THE US AND CHINAB

ACTION DIA(+)

INFO NIDS(+) SAGA(+) NMCC:(+) J5(+) IADB(+) RDJTF:LO(+)

SECDEF:(+) SECDEF(+) USDP(++) ASD:PA&E(+) NMIC(+)

RTS-2B(+) SWS(+) DC-4(+) AT(+) AIS(+) DIO(+) DE(+)

D9-1G(+) DB-2B(+) DB-2C(+) DB-4A(+) DT-1(+)

BT

conficential

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF MESSAGE CENTER

CIA FILE(+)

- +CSA *ASHINGTON DC
- +CNO WASHINGTON DC
- +CSAF WASHINGTON DC
- +CIA WASHINGTON DC
- +SECSTATE WASHINGTON DC +CMC CC WASHINGTON DC
- +DIRNSA FT GEORGE G MEADE MD

TOTAL COPIES REQUIRED 0

#2916 NNNN

> PAGE 04 OF 04 CONFIDENTIAL 310833Z DEC 80 SECT 01 OF 04

CEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF MESSAGE CENTER

CDSM.= PAU386 MCN = 80366/07527 TOR = 810121715 OTTCZYUW PUMJFGAZ916 3660940-0000-RPRAJCP. ZMY cooce

0 P 310833Z DEC 80

FN. TO RUEKUCS/DIA WASHDC IMMEDIATE RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE RUMTER/AMEMASSY BANGKOK IMMEDIATE INFO RUEHGP/AMEMBASSY SINGAPORE PRIORITYOCKUEHIN/AIT TAIPEI PRIORITY RUEHMC/AMEMEASSY MOSCOW RUEHKO/AMEMEASSY TOKYO RUEHKY/USDAO TOKYO RUMJDH/USDLO HONG KONG RUMJDH/AMCONSUL HONG KONG RUMTEK/USDAO BANGKOK TH RUHGHGAZCINCPAC HONLULU HI RUHGHQA/COMIPAC HONLULU HI RUHVAAA/CINCPACAF HICKAM AFB HI RUWRNLE/CINCAD PETERSON AFE CO//J-20// FUADJSA/13AF CLARK AB RP//COI RUADJNA/CG 5TH AF YOKOTA AB JA RUCIAWA/FTD WPAFP OH//NISS/SDN// RUHHHHA/CDR WESTCOM FT SHAFTER HI//APIN/IN// RUAGAAA/CG STHARMY SECUL KS RUADJHA/CDR SOOMIG CAMP ZAMA JA RUENAAA/CNO WASHDC//NOP-009// RUEBARA//CGMNAVINTCOM WASDC//NIC-03// RHHMERA/CINCPACFLT PEARL HARBOR HI RUHGOAA/COMSEVENTHELT **PT**

GONFILE NITE ALL SECTION 2 OF A NOFORNANSWER: EECAUSE SOME NATION, ESPEICIALLY IN THE
THIRD WORLD, HOAVE UNFOVORABLE OPION BECAUSE OF
RECENT HOSTIRY, CHINA ALSO HAS THIS PROBLEM. ALSO,
SOME PRUBLEMS CANNOT BE SOLVED BY WORDS SO WE CAN DEAL
WITH THE DANGER ACCORDING TO THE CONDITION.
E. GUESTION: WHAT FORM SHOULD THIS UNITY TAKE IF AN
ALLIANCE IS NOT APPROPRIATE TO COPE WITH SOVIET HEGEMONY?
ANSWER: I THINK WE CAN DO AS WE ARE NOW, HAVING

* CONFIDENTIAL *

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE JUINT CHIEFS OF STAFF MESSAGE CENTER

CWN CONDITION. I CAN SAY THIS IS FEASIBLE NON. THE EXPRESSION THE CHINESE OFTEN USE IS A UNITED FRONT AGAINST MEGEMONY. IN THIS MANNER THE PEOPLE OF THE WORLD STAND AGAINST HEGEMONISM. F. CUESTION: I' INTERESTED IN WHAT YOU WANT FROM THE US? DO YOU WANT MILITARY ASSISTANCE TO YOUR COUNTRY? CO YOU WANT THE US TO SEELL ARMS TO CHINA? ANSWER: THAT DEPENDS ON THE SITUATION. HOW IT CEVELOPS AND THE WILLINGNESS ON BOTH SIDES. I MEAN THE US CAN TAKE ITS ACTION ACCORDING TO ITS OWN CONDITIONS. BUT AS FOR WEAPONS SALES THAT IS ANOTHER ISSUE. REGARCLESS OF WHETHER THE US SELLS WEAPONS TO CHINA WE ARE CPPOSED TO SOVIET HEGEMONISM. WE HAVE ALREAYS HELD THAT WE CAN USE SHATEVER MEANS AVAILABLE TO ACCOMPLISH OUR ENDS. I HAVE BEEN A SCLIDIER FOR OVER FORTY YEARS. OUR EXPERIENCE INWAR IS THAT WE ALWAYS HAD INFERIOR WEAPONS. WE HOPE TO NARROW THE GAP BETWEEN OURS AND THE ENEMIES. IF NOT. THAT'S CK.

STRATEGIC COORDINATION WHILE CCING ACCORDING TO OUR

G. QUESTION: SOME SAY SINCE YOUR FOREIGN EXCHANGE IS LIMITED, COSTS PROGHIFITS PURCHASES IN GREAT QUANTITY EVEN IF THE US WERE FREPARED TO SELL ARMS. ANSWER: THERE ARE TWO GUESTIONS HERE. IS THE US WILLING TO SEEL+ AND SECOND CAN CHINA AFFORD TO BUY? I KNOW SOME PEOPLE IN THE US ARE ACTIVE (ON THE SUBJECT) OF SEELING WEAPONS TO CHINA. THOSE WHO TAKE A POSITIVE ATTITUDE ARE FOR THE SALE. THOSE WHO ARE NEGATIVE ARE AGAINST. THE US MUST FIRST SOLVE THIS CONFLICT. I WANT TO ADD THAT EVEN IF THE US CAN SELL US WEAPONS OR TECHNOLOGY. WE WILL NOT DEPEND SOLELY ON THE US. CHINA'S POPULATION IS LARGE AND NUMBER OF TROOPS GREAT. WE MUST RELY ON OUR OWN EFFORTS. BUT IF THE US CAN TRANSFER TECHNOLOGY TO CHINA TO REFORM OUR EQUIPMENT THAT WOULD BE GOOD FOR US. SOME PEOPLE THINK WE RELY ON US HELP-THAT IS A WRONG IDEA.

H. GLESTION: DO YOU HAVE A DRAFT?
ANSWER: YES. WE HAVE A COMPULSARY SYSTEM. HOWEVER
SOME ARE VOLUNTARY.

******************** PAGE 02 CONFIDENTIAL * 310833Z DEC 80 ******************** SECT 02 OF 04 * CONFISSATIAL *

DEPARTMENT OF CEFENSE

JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF MESSAGE CENTER

ANSWER: FROM THREE TO FIVE YEARS DEPENDING ON THE SERVICE. WE WARTED TOS SHORTEN THE TIME BUT CHANGED OUR MINES. SOME SOLDIERS IN TECHNICAL FIELDSS SECOME VOLUMITEERS. J. GUESTION: WHAT PERCENTAGE OF YOUR SOLDIERS ARE DRAFTED AND WHAT PERCENTAGE STAY IN FOR A CAREER? ANSWEF: THE NUMBER OF VOLUNTEERS IS NOT GREAT. THIS CEPENES ON THE WORLD SITUATION. WE CO NOT SET A PERCENTAGE FOR VOLUNTEERS. FOR INSANCE IN A REGIMENT WHERE TECHNICIANS ARE NEEDED. WE MAY ASK CONSCRIPTS TO REMAIN VOLUNTARILY. OFFICERS ARE CAREER SOLDIERS AND MAY STAY LONGER. I.E. FOR LIFE. K. GUESTION: DO MEN IN SERVICE DO PUBELIC WORKS? ANSWER: FOR A PERIOD SCLDIERS IN THE ARMY WERE ACTIVE IN PRODUCTION WORK. THE HAS CHANGED AND MILITARY TRAINING IS EMPHASIZED. OUR MILITARY CONSTRUCTION WORKERS ARE ENGAGED IN MILITARY PROJECTS. THERE IS ONE GROUP. THE CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION CORP. THIS SFRVICE IS NOT SUBORDINATE TO THE MINISTRY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE. BUT UNDER THE STATE COUNCIL. L. GUESTION: ONCE A SOLDIER LEAVES THE ACTIVE FORCES DOES HE CO INTO THE RESERVES? ANSWER: WE HAVE A MILITIA. ALL YOUTH CAN BE MILITIA BUT IN WAR THEY CAN BE SOLDIERS. M. GUESTION: YOU SAID YOU WOULD BE INTERESTED IN MILITARY TECHNOLOGY. WHAT KIND? ANSWER: THIS CEPENDS ON THE WILLINGNEESS OF BOTH SIDES. THIS YEAR YOUR CEFENSE MISSIONS TO CHINA AND THOSE WHO WENT TO THE US DISCUSSED THE SUBJECT ALOT. IF POSSIBLE THSE DISCUSSIONS CAN CONTINUE. IF NOT. YOU CAN HAVE YOUR OWN WAY BUT WE HOPE THIS RELATIONSHIP CAN DEVELOP. N. QUESTION: WHAT LESSONS DID THE CHINESE MILITARY LEARN IN THE SINO-SRV WAR? ANSWER: MOST IMPORTANT WAS THAT TO GIVE THE SRV A FIGHT WAS BETTER THAN NOT TO FIGHT. WE VIEW THIS FROM AN OVERALL STRATEGIC PERSPECTIVE. IN MAY OPINION IF CHINA HAD NOT FOUGHT. TODAY'S SITUATION IN SOUTHEGST ASIA WOULD BE WORSE. VIETNAM NOW HAS 1.2 MILLION

I. GUESTION: HOW LONG IS THE TOUR OF SERVICE?

******* PAGE 03

* ************** * 3108332 DEC 80

******************* SECT 02 OF 04

• CC*:FICE*TIAL* •

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF MESSAGE CENTER

TROOPS. SIXTY PERCENT ARE PINNED DOWN NORTH OF HANCI. IF WE HAD NOT DONE THIS (PROSECUTED THE WAR) THE VIETNAMESE WOULD NOT HAVE DONE THIS. ALSO THE THREAT T THAILAND WOULD HAVE PEEN GREATER. IN TERMS OF LESSONS IN TACTICS CRAWN FROM THE BORDER WAR, THIS SHOULD NOT BE DISCUSSED TODAY. IF AMERICAN MILITARY OFFICERS COME TO CHINA AND WANT TO DISCUSS THIS WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE LETAILED DIDCUSSIONS ON TACTICS.

ACTION DIA(+)

INFO NIDS(+) SAGA(+) NMCC:(+) J5(+) IADB(+) RDJTF:LO(+)

SECDEF:(+) SECDEF(+) USDP(++) ASD:PA&E(+) NMIC(+)

RTS-2B(+) SWS(+) DC-4(+) AT(+) AIS(+) DIO(+) DE(+)

DE-1G(+) DE-2B(+) DB-2C(+) DB-4A(+) DT-1(+)

DIA FILE(+)

+CSA WASHINGTON DC

+CNO WASHINGTON DC

+CIA WASHINGTON DC

+SECSTATE WASHINGTON DC

+CMC CC WASHINGTON DC +DIRNSA FT GEORGE G MEAUE MD

#2918 NNNN

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF MESSAGE CENTER

O P 310833Z CEC 80

FM TO RUEKJCS/DIA WASHOC IMMEDIATE RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHOC IMMEDIATE RUMTBK/AMEMASSY BANGKOK IMMEDIATE INFO RUEHGP/AMEMBASSY SINGAPORE PRIORITY RUEHIN/AIT TAIPEI PRIORITY RUEHMO/AMEMBASSY MOSCOW RUEHKO/AMEMBASSY TOKYO RUEHKY/USDAO TOKYO RUMJDH/USDLO HONG KONG RUMJDH/AMCONSUL HONG KONG RUMTEK/USDAO BANGKOK TH RUHGHGA/CINCPAC HONLULU HI RUHQHGA/COMIPAC HONLULU HI RUHVAAA/CINCPACAF HICKAM AFB HI RUWRNLB/CINCAC PETERSON AFB CO//J-20// RUADJSA/13AF CLARK AB RP//COI RUADJNA/CG 5TH AF YOKOTA AB JA RUCIAWA/FTD WPAFP OH//NISS/SDN// RUHHHMA/CDR WESTCOM FT SHAFTER HI//APIN/IN// RUAGAAA/CG ETHARMY SEOUL KS RUADJHA/CDR 500MIG CAMP ZAMA JA RUENAAA/CNG WASHDC//NOP-009// RUEBARA//CCMNAVINTCOM WASDC//NIC-03// RHHMERA/CINCPACFLT FEARL HARBOR HI **RUHGOAA/COMSEVENTHELT** BT

CONFIDENTIAL SECTION 3 OF 4-NOFORM

AMEMB BANGKOK FOR CODEL SOARZ
SUBJ: IR (U)
C. GUESTION: IF VIETNAM INVADES THAILAND WOULD
CHINA GIVE THEM A SECOND LESSON?
ANSWER: WE ARE ALWAYS PREPARED AND HAVE SAID SO.
WE HAVE TOLD THAILAND THAT IF VIETNAM INVADES WE WILL

CONFIDENTIAL + 310833Z DEC 80

* CONFICENTIAL *

DEPARTMENT OF CEFENSE

JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF MESSAGE CENTER

STAND BY THEIR SIDE. AS FOR WAHT CONCRETE ACTIONS WE WOULD TAKE THAT DEPENDS ON THE SITUATION. P. GUESTION: TO YOU CONSIDER TAIWAN A THREAT TO THE MAINLAND? ANSWER: I HAVE HEARD YOU HAD A LONG DISCUSSION (ON THIS SUBJECT) WITH ((ZHANG)) WENJIN. I AM A SOLDIER; I AM AFRAID I WOULD BECOME EMCTIONAL. NONETHELESS SINCE WE ARE FRIENDS WE SHOULD COORDINATE OUR STRATEGY. WE HOPE THE US WILL BE CAUTIOUS CONCERNING TAIWAN. IF YOU GO TOO FAR IT WILL HARM THE FEELING OF THE CHINESE PEOPLE AND IN TURN HARM OUR RELATIONS. I HAD AN EMTICNAL DISCUSSION ON THIS ISSUE WITH MR. ALLEN. PLEASE GIVE HIM MY REGARDS. GUESTION: DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCERN ABOUT TAIWAN ATTACKING THE MAINLAND? ANSWER: TAIWAN IS INCAPABLE. BUT UP TO NOW THE US IS SELLING WEAPONS AND THIS WILL DESTROY THE CURRENT SITUATION. WE HOPE THAT UNIFICATION CAN BE FULFILLED BY PEACEFUL MEANS. HOWEVER, IF YOU ENCOURAGE TAIWAN AGAINST THE MAINLAND YOU GO BEYOND THE FOLICY FRAME-WORK OF THE AGREEMENT ESTABLISHING THE NORMALIZATION OF OUR RELATIONS. THIS WOULD DESTROY THE OVERALL STRAGETIC RELATIONSHIP. WE WILL NEVER SELL OUR SOVEREIGNTY AND INDEPENDENCE. EVEN TO MAKE FRIENDS. THIS QUESTION IS NOT NEGOTIABLE. AS A CHINESE SOLDIER I WOULD BE TRIED BY THE CHINESE PEOPLE IF I DIDN'T OPPOSE ARMS SALES TO TAIWAN. IF TAIWAN TURNED TO THE USSR OR REFUSED FOREVER TO RETURN TO THE EMBRACE OF THE MOTHERLAND, FINALLY WE MUST RESORT TO FORCE. SOME SAY THE CHINESE TROOPS AND NAVY ARE TOO WEAK. BUT AS A CHINESE SOLDIER IF WE ARE COMPELLED IN THE FUTURE, HE WILL THINK A WAY OUT. AND USE VERY MEANS POSSIBLE. R. GEUSTION: IN WAR WITH THE SOVIET UNION. DO YOU BELIEVE YOUR NUCLEAR WEAPONS WOULD DETER SOVIET USE OF THE SAME? ANSER: GUR NECLEAR FORCES ARE VERY SMALL. EVEN IF THE SOVIET UNION ATTACKS WE WILL NOT RELY ON NUCLEAR WEAPONS. WE HAVE A SMALL SCALE AFFORDABLE NUCLEAR

FORCE. WITHOUT NUCLEAR WEAPONS WE CAN RESIST AGAINST

· CCNFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 310833Z DEC 80 * CCNF15547146- *

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF MESSAGE CENTER

THEM EUT PERHAPS THERE WILL BE GREAT CASUALTIES OVER A LONG TIME. I CON*T BELIEVE THE SOVIET UNION CAN WIPE US OUT.

S. GUESTION: DO YOU BELIEVE THE USSR WOULD USE NUCLEAR WEAPONS?

ANSWER: THIS IS HARD TO PREDICT, BUT IF THEY USE THEM THE CONFLICT WOULD EXPAND BEYOND THE TWO SIDES.

T. GUESTION: DO YOU ASSUME THAT YOUR FORCES COUNTERING THE USSR WOULD BE ORGANIZED FORCES.

PEOPLE'S WAR OR CUERILLAS?

ANSWER: OUR MILITARY IDEOLOGY IS STILL PEOPLE S WAR. OUR WEAPONS ARE UNDERDEVELOPED. SO SHE MUST USE PEOPLE'S WAR. EVEN IF OUR WEAPONS ARE ADVANCED WE WOULD STILL USE PEOPLE'S WAR. U. QUESTION: WHAT IS PEOPLE'S AR? ANSWER: THERE ARE THREE SECTIONS OF OUR MILITARY FORCES: THE FIELD ARMIES. LOCAL FORCES AND THE MILITIA. THE FIELD ARMIES ARE MOBILE FORCES WHILE LOCAL FORCES AND MILITIA FIGHT IN THEIR OWN REGIONS. IF A MAJOR OPERATION BY THE ENEMY IS IN A REGION, THE FIELD ARMY WILL BE THERE. LOCAL FORCES WILL COORDINATE WITH THE FILED ARMY. IF IT IS NOT A MAJOR ENEMY FORCE. LOCAL FORCES AND THE MILITIA WILL FIGHT THE BATTLE. GUESTION: HOW MAY TROOPS ARE IN THE FIELD ARMY AND MILITIA? ANSER: THE NUMBER OF FIELD UNITS IS KNOWN TO YOU. I'VE READ IT. NUMBER OF LOCAL UNITS ARE DIFFERENT. THE MILITIA ARE NUMBEROUS, I'M NOT CLEAR HOW MANY, PERHPAS ONE HUNDRED MILLION. W. GUESTION: ARE THE MILITIA THOSE WITH PRIOR MILITARY SERVICE? ANSWER: SOME ARE DEMOBILIZED SOLDIERS. THE MILITIA MEN ARE ORGNIZED INTO DIVISONS AND REGIMENTS. X. GUESTION: HOW MUCH EMPHASIS IS PLACED ON VIVIL CEFENSE IN YOUR PLANNING? ANSWER: OUR CIVIL DEFENSE IS TWO SIDED, FOSITIVE AND

FASSIVE DEFENSE MEASURES. ON THE FOSITIVE SIDE WE HAVE ANTI AIR RAID TROOPS (AAA) AND ON THE DEFENSE

GONFIDENTIAL +

PAGE 03 310833Z DEC 80 CSNFIDENTIAL

DEPARTMENT OF CEFENSE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF MESSAGE CENTER

SIDE .E DIG TUNNELS. Y. GUESTION: DO YOU HAVE SHELTERS IN ALL MAJOR CITIES? ANSWER: YES. SOME ARE GOOD. SOME NOT SO GOOD. SEIJING'S AFE JUST SO-SO. PERHPAS THE TUNNELS YOU SAW WERE DUG BY THE MASSES. THOSE DONE BY SOLDIERS ARE BETTER. WE ARE INFERIOR IN WEAPONS SO WE MUST HAVE A SHELTER PROGFAM. THAT PROGRAM IS NEARLY ENDED EUT WE WILL IMPROVE THE OLDER ONES. Z. GUESTION: WHAT ARE THE MAJOR WEAKNESSES IN THE PLA? ANSWER: AAA, ANTI TANK, ANTI SUEMARINE. AA. GEUSTION: HOW DO YOU FEEL ABOUT THE DECITSION TO REDUCE THE (MILITARY) BUDGET? BT

ACTION DIA(+) INFO NIDS(*) SAGA(*) NMCC:(*) J5(*) IADB(*) RDJTF:LO(*) SECDEF: (+) SECDEF(+) USDP(++) ASD:PARE(+) NMIC(+) £TS-28(+) SWS(+) DC-4(+) AT(+) AIS(+) DIO(+) DE(+) UB-1G(+) DB-2B(+) DB-2C(+) DB-4A(+) DT-1(+) DIA FILE(*) +CSA WASHINGTON DC +CNO WASHINGTON DC +CSAF WASHINGTON DC +CIA WASHINGTON DC +SECSTATE WASHINGTON DC +CMC CC WASHINGTON DC +DIRNSA FT GECRGE G MEADE MD

TOTAL COPIES REQUIRED

(U+6+8)

#2918 NNNN

PAGE 04 OF 04 310833Z DEC 80 * CCTT ! L C - -

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF MESSAGE CENTER

0 P 310633Z DEC 60

FM E TO RUEKJCS/DIA WASHDCC IMMEDIATE TO SECSTATE WASHDO IMMEDIATE RUMTBK/AMEMASSY BANGKCK IMMEDIATE INFO RUEHGP/AMEMBASSY SINGAPORE PRIORITY RUEHIN/AIT TAIPEI PRIORITY RUEHMO/AMEMBASSY MOSCOW RUEHKG/AMEMBASSY TOKYO RUEHKY/USDAO TOKYO RUMJDH/USDLO HONG KONG RUMJDH/AMCONSUL HONG KONG RUMTBK/USDAO BANGKOK TH RUHGHGA/CINCPAC HONLULU HI RUHQHQA/COMIPAC HONLULU HI RUHVAAA/CINCPACAF HICKAM AFB HI RUWRNLB/CINCAD PETERSON AFB CO//J-20// RUADJSA/13AF CLARK AB RP//DOI RUADJNA/CG 5TH AF YOKOTA AB JA RUCIAWA/FTD WPAFP OH//NISS/SDN// RUHHHMA/CDR #ESTCOM FT SHAFTER HI//APIN/IN// RUAGAAA/CG STHARMY SEOUL KS RUADJHA/CDR 500MIG CAMP ZAMA JA RUENAAA/CNO WASHDC//NOP-009// RUEBARA//COMNAVINTCOM WASDC//NIC-03// RHHMBRA/CINCPACFLT PEARL HARBOR HI RUHGOAA/COMSEVENTHFLT BT


GONFIDENTIAL SECTION 4 OF 4 NOFORNANSWER: PERHAPS CHINA'S MILITARY ARE DIFFERENT FROM
THOSE OF THE US. IN THE US THERE ARE DISPUTES
BETWEEN US CIVILIANS AND MILITARY MEN. HERE IT IS
EASY FOR THE CHINESE SOLDIER TO UNDERSTAND THAT
WITHOUT DEVELOPMENT OF OUR INDUSTRY. AGRICULTURE AND
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY THERE CAN BE NO DEVELOPMENTS
IN NATIONAL DEFENSE. OF COURSE WE WOULD BE HAPPY

CONFICENTIAL-

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF MESSAGE CENTER

TO SEE A GUICK DEVELOPMENT. BUT IF THERE IS NO DEVELOPMENT OF AGRICULTURE. INCUSTRY AND SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY. THE TALKS OF NATICAL DEFENSE IS FUTILE. IN SUCH AN ENVIRONMENT. DEVELOPMENT OF NATIONAL DEFENSE WOULD NOT LAST LONG. IF THE GOVERNMENT PUT ALL ITS HONEY IN NATIONAL DEFENSE IT WOULD NOT BE IN THE INTEREST OF THE NATION. SO OUR MILITARY PLANNING MUST EE BASED ON DEPENDENCE ON EXISTING FORCES. BB. QUESTION: WHAT DOES SUPPORTING MILITARY MODERNIZATIONMEAN?

ANSWER: WE HOPE TO RAISE OUR MILITARY LEVEL BY MAKING FULL USE OF EXISTING WEAPONS. TIS IS THE CONTEXT IN WHICH WE VIEW MILITARY DEVELOPMENT. 8B. (C/NOFORN) ORIG COMMENTS:



PAGE 02 3108332 DEC 80 SECT 04 OF 04

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF MESSAGE CENTER

10. (U COLLMGMT CODES: AD

11. (U) SPEC INST: CIRC NO

12. (U) PREP BY

13. (U APP BY:

14. (U RE EVAL - NO

15. (U) ENCL: N/A

16. (U DIST BY ORIG: N/A

REVU: 29-DEC 88

BT

ACTION DIA(+)

ACTION DIA(+)

INFO NIDS(+) SAGA(+) NMCC:(+) J5(+) IADB(+) RDJTF:LO(+)

SECDEF:(+) SECDEF(+) USDP(++) ASD:PA&E(+) NHIC(+)

RTS-2B(+) SWS(+) DC-4(+) AT(+) AIS(+) DIO(+) DE(+)

DB-1G(+) DB-2B(+) DB-2C(+) DB-4A(+) DT-1(+)

DIA FILE(+)

+CSA WASHINGTON DC

+CNO WASHINGTON DC

+CIA WASHINGTON DC

+SECSTATE WASHINGTON DC +CMC CC WASHINGTON DC +DIRNSA FT GEORGE G MEADE HD

#2918 NNNN

. . .